

**FOOD CORPORATION OF INDIA**  
**ZONAL OFFICE(EAST):10A,Middleton Row,Kolkata-71**

Ref.No. Estt.39/1(23)/R.B.Paswan/05.

Dated : 16.08.2010.

In the matter of Right to Information Act, 2005

**Appellant: Smt. Chandeshwari Devi**, W/O Late Ram Brat Paswan, ex.AG-II(D), retired on 31.07.2002 and subsequently expired on 09.01.2006 (Food Transferee), Harijan Mandir, Gandhi Smarak Marg, PO & PS – Gaya (Bihar).

**Public Authority : Food Corporation of India**

Mr. J.Sharma, Chief General Manager(F&A/Pers)/CPIO.

Mr. Sushil Nagpal, ED(East) & Appellate Authority.

FACTS:

The appellant Smt. Chandeshwari Devi vide her application dated 15.04.2010 received through RTI Cell, FCI, Zonal Office(East), Kolkata under ION No. RTI/App.(Bihar)/ZO(E)/2008-09 dated 20.04.10 had sought certain information on 4(four) points as contained in her application. On the basis of her application, she was given reply vide this office letter of even No. dated 03.05.2010 sent through ordinary post to her residential address. Now, Smt. Chandeshwari Devi vide her appeal petition dated 04.07.10 received in section on 26.07.2010 has alleged that she has not received the said reply. Besides, Smt. Chandeshwari Devi has given her opinions/suggestions on some other matters.

DECISION:

I have gone through the appeal of the Appellant and observed from records that in response to her above representation she was given reply vide letter of even No. dated 03.05.2010 sent through ordinary post. I find no reason of her allegation for not receiving the said reply, However, since she has alleged that she is not in receipt of the reply, as such a copy of the said reply is again enclosed.

Rest of the contents of her appeal are merely the opinions/suggestions and as no specific information has been sought for, I have nothing to comment.

The appeal petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(SUSHIL NAGPAL)**  
**EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR(EAST)/**  
**APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

Address of parties:-

- 1.Mr. J.Sharma, Chief General Manager(F&A/Pers)/CPIO, FCI, ZO(E),Kolkata.
- 2.Smt. Chandeshwari Devi, W/O Late Ram Brat Paswan,  
Harijan Mandir, Gandhi Smarak Marg, Gaya.

Copy to:-

The Asstt.Genl.Manager(Computer),FCI,ZO(E),Kolkata. ... With the request to place this order in the FCI Website.

**EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR(EAST)**

**FOOD CORPORATION OF INDIA**  
**ZONAL OFFICE(E), 10A, MIDDLETON ROW, KOLKATA-71**

**In the matter of Right to Information Act., 2005**

**Appellant: Md. Jamaluddin Khan, Dusting Operator, FCI, FSD-Kalyani, under Area Manager,  
NPD, 69, G.C.Avenue, Kolkata-13.**

Public Authority: Food Corporation of India

Mr. J. Sharma, Chief General Manager(F&A/PERS)/CPIO

Mr. Sushil Nagpal, ED(East) & Appellate Authority

**FACTS::**

The appellant Md. Jamaluddin Khan vide his application dt.12.4.2010 forwarded by Hqs. vide letter No.RTI/1(50)/10-11 dt.29.4.2010 and received through RTI Cell, FCI, Zonal Office(East), Kolkata under ION No.RTI/Genl. Corres/Hqs./2010 dt.10.5.2010 had sought certain information on 5(five) points as contained in his application. On the basis of his application, he was given reply vide this office letter of even No. dt.28.05/02.06.2010 sent through Speed Post to Sri Khan. Now Sri Khan vide his appeal petition dt.07.06.2010 has alleged that he has not received the said reply. Besides, Sri Khan has given his opinions/suggestions on some other matters.

**DECISION::**

I have gone through the appeal of the Appellant and observed from records that in response to his above representation he was given reply vide letter of even No. dt. 28.05/02.06.2010 sent through speed post to him. I find no reason of his allegation for not receiving the said reply. However, since he has alleged that he is not in receipt of the reply, as such a copy of the said reply is again enclosed.

Rest of the contents in his appeal are merely the opinions/suggestions and as no specific information has been sought for, I have nothing to comment.

The appeal petition is disposed of accordingly.

(SUSHIL NAGPAL)  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR(EAST)/  
APPELLATE AUTHORITY

Address of parties:-

1. Mr. J. Sharma, Chief General Manager(F&A/PERS)/CPIO, FCI, ZO(E), Kolkata.
2. Md. Jamaluddin Khan, Dusting Operator, FCI, FSD-Kalyani, under Area Manager,  
NPD, 69, G.C.Avenue, Kolkata-13.

Copy to:-

AGM(Computer), FCI, ZO(E), Kolkata.....with the request to place this order in the  
FCI Web site.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR(EAST)/

**In the matter of Right to Information Act, 2005.**

**Appellant : Shri Narayan Chandra Ghosh, Manager (QC),**  
Food Corporation of India, FSD. Kalyani, Dist. Nadia, Pin-741235

**Public Authority : Shri Jayanta Sharm,**  
Chief Genl. Manager (F&A/Pers.)/CPIO,FCI,Kol.

**FACTS:**

The appellant, **Shri Narayan Chandra Ghosh, Manager (QC)** sought for certain information vide his application dated 12.4.2010 received through RTI Cell ION No. RTI/App./ZO(E)/2008-09 dated 20.4.2010 which were replied to him vide this office letter No.Estt.3(34)/2008/M(QC) dated 29/30.4.2010 and subsequently forwarded to him by RTI Cell of this office vide No. RTI/App./ZO(E)/2008-09 dated 13.5.2010 after collection of reply related to other division of this office.

The appellant has alleged that he has not received any response and being aggrieved he has filed the instant Appeal dated 07.06.2010 incorporating some additional information not included in his earlier application dated 12.4.2010. The said appeal was forwarded by Hqrs. to this office vide No. RTI/1(Misc.)/10-11 dated 16.6.2010 and subsequently received in the Personnel Section vide RTI Cell's ION No.RTI/Appeal/ZO(E)/2008-09 dated 23.6.2010.

**DECISION:**

I have gone through his appeal application and observed that a complete reply was forwarded to him by the RTI Cell of this office vide No. RTI/Appel/ZO(E)/2008-09 dated 13.5.2010 and it is not understood why the same was not received by him. However, since he is not in receipt of the same, as alleged, a copy of the reply of Personnel Divn. bearing number Estt.3(34)/2008/M(QC) dated 29/30.4.2010 forwarded vide letter No.RTI/App./ZO(E)/2008-09 dated 13.05.2010 as well as a copy of reply of Vigilance Divn. being ION No. Vig.32(RTI Act)/2009 dated 12.5.2010 is again enclosed.

In respect of the additional information included in the instant appeal, the appellant may apply to the concerned CPIO as per prescribed procedure.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

**( SUSHIL NAGPAL )**  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR (EAST)/  
APPELLATE AUTHORITY

- Encl.** 1) Copy of letter bearing number Estt.3(34)/2008/M(QC) dated 29.4.2010.  
2) Copy of letter No. RTI/App./ZO(E)/2008-09. Dated 13.05.2010  
3) Copy of Vig. Divn. ION No. Vig.32(RTI Act)/2009 dt.12.5.10.

**Copy to :**

1. The Dy. Genl. Manager (RTI),  
FCI,HQRS,New Delhi. w.r.t. his letter No. RTI/1(MISC)/10-11 dt.16.06.2010
2. The AGM(Computer), FCI, ZO(E), Kol. With a request to place the above order in FCI website.
3. The Manager (RTI), FCI(ZO(E), Kol. w.r.t. his ION No. RTI/Appel/ZO(EP/2008-09 dt.23.06.2010

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR (EAST)  
APPELLATE AUTHORITY

**THE FOOD CORPORATION OF INDIA**  
**ZONAL OFFICE (EAST) : KOLKATA – 700 071**

**In the matter of Right to Information Act, 2005 – Section 19**

Appellant: **Shri Bharat Prasad Singh,**  
Manager (Depot)

Public Authority: **Shri Jayanta Sharma,**  
Chief Genl. Manager (F&A/Pers.)/CPIO

**FACTS:**

The Appellant is a employee of Food Corpn. of India. He sought for certain information vide his application dated 8.10.09 and 16.2.2010 respectively. In all his information he raised questions which was replied to him vide this office letter of even number dated 08.3.2010 and 05.3.2010 citing CIC's decision conveyed through Headquarters Circular No. EP-25-2007-25 (Ref. No.EP-49(1)/2003) dated 24.10.2007 at Paragraph – 3 Sub-para (v) wherein it is mentioned that “answering a question or proffering advise or making suggestion to an applicant is beyond the purview of the Right to Information Act.

Being aggrieved with the reply he has sought for instant Appeal dated 13.3.2010 received through RTI Cell of this office vide ION No.RTI/Apl.(Bihar)/ZO(E)/2008-09 dated 30.3.2010.

**DECISION:**

I have gone through his above two applications as well as the Appeal petition. It is observed that almost all his queries are hypothetical in nature. Besides, he has raised specific questions/asked reasons for various actions for which, in my opinion, the decision of CIC referred to above is very much applicable.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Let a copy of this decision be sent to the appellant and CPIO.

**( SUSHIL NAGPAL )**  
**EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR (EAST)/**  
**APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

**Encls.** Hqrs. Circular No.EP-25-2007-25(Ref. No.EP-49(1)/2003) dated 24.10.2007.

**Address to parties:**

1. **Shri Jayanta Sharma, CGM (F&A/Pers.),**  
Zonal Office (East), 10A, Middleton Row, Kolkata – 71
2. **Shri Bharat Prasad Singh, Manager (Depot),**  
Regional Secretary (BKNDCKS), Bihar,  
C/O, Sri Sanjoy Rathore, Sardar Patel Colony,  
PO Sadaquat Ashram, Kurji, Patna – 10 (Bihar).

**Copy to:**

1. The DGM (RTI), FCI, Hqrs.,  
16-20, Barakhamba Lane, New Delhi – 110 001 ... w.r.t. his letter No. RTI/I(II)/Misc./09-10  
Dated 23.3.2010 for information please.
2. The Manager (RTI), FCI, ZO(E), Kolkata
3. The AGM (Computer), FCI, ZO(E), Kolkata .. to place a copy of the above order in FCI website.

**EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR (EAST)**

To  
Sri Ashok Kumar,  
S/o-Budharam Ji,  
H.No.C/S-38, Shivaji Nagar,  
Pali marwar(Rajasthan)-306401

**Sub:** Half and incomplete information furnished by ZO (East) as alleged.

Sir,

Please refer to your letter dated 23.01.2010 on the cited subject.

In the said letter, you have stated that the letter sent by FCI, ZO(East) on 01.01.2010 in response to your earlier letter dt.13.11.2009 seeking information under RTI Act. contained half and incomplete information.

You have also stated there-in that ZO(E) has not furnished the position of marks which you have scored in Written, G.D. and Interview in the said examination and hence requesting to furnish complete information.

In this connection, it is to inform that in your earlier letter dt.13.11.2009 there were 6(six) queries. Out of which 1 to 4 related to Zonal Office (North) and 5 to 6 related to Zonal Office (E) (copy enclosed). Accordingly, FCI Hqrs. vide their letter No.RTI/1(394)/09-10 dated 25.11.09 forwarded your application to both East Zone as well as to North Zone for providing required information. Based on your above letter dt.13.11.09, you were given reply i.r.of query Nos.5 & 6 specifically meant for East Zone. As such, your contention of providing half and incomplete information is not based on record.

Not only that, the information which you have desired at present vide Point Nos.1 to 4 from ZO(East) that too slightly different from your earlier queries actually meant for Zonal Office(North).

However, point-wise information, as desired at present from Zonal Office (East) are given below for information:-

- 1) You have scored 23.19 in Written Test out of 70;
- 2) You have scored 5.0 in G.D. out of 10;
- 3) You have scored 4.0 in Interview out of 20;
- 4) You have scored all total 32.19 out of 100;

Yours faithfully,

(K.C.SAHU)  
DY.GENL.MANAGER(PERS)  
FOR CH. GENL. MANAGER(F&A/PERS)/CPIO

Copy to:-

1. The AGM (RTI), FCI, Hqrs., New Delhi...for information w.r.t. his letter No.RTI/1(394)/09-10/1071 dated 03/04.02.2010. In this connection, Xerox copy of earlier application of above applicant dt.13.11.09, and Hqrs. earlier letter dt.25.11.09 forwarding the above application and recent application of the applicant dt.23.01.2010 are enclosed for kind perusal and further advice, if any. As desired, a copy of this letter is being placed in the FCI Website.

2. The Manager (G)/CAPIO, ZO (E), Kolkata-71...for information w.r.t his ION No.RTI/Genl. Corres /Hqrs./2009-10 dt.10.02.2010

FOR CH. GENL. MANAGER (F&A/PERS)/CPIO